

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ವಿ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಅವನ ಅಸ್ತಿಯೆಲ್ಲವನ್ನೂ ಬರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ. It will be collected as arrears of land revenue.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಆರ್. ರಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ತಾವು ಸೆಪ್ಟೆಂಬರಿನವರೆಗೂ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ. ಈಗ ಮಳೆಗಾಲವಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಕೆಲಸ ಕೈಕೊಂಡು ಮುಗಿಸುವುದು ಕಷ್ಟವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಬರುವ ಏಪ್ರಿಲ್‌ನವರೆಗೂ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡುವುದನ್ನು ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ವಿ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಬರಬಹುದು. ಈ ಸೆಪ್ಟೆಂಬರ್ ಆಪ್ಟೋಬರ್‌ವರೆಗೆ ಕಾದು ನೋಡಿ ಆಮೇಲೆ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮಾದಯ್ಯಗೌಡ.—ನೀವು ಬಾವಿ ಸಾಲ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಆತನ ಅಸ್ತಿಯೆಲ್ಲವನ್ನೂ ಬರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಆತನಿಗೆ ಬೇರೆ ಸಾಲ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಷ್ಟವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಆ ಬಾವಿಯನ್ನೇ ಆಧಾರ ಮಾಡಿ ಸಾಲ ಪಡೆಯುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ವಿ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ರೈತರು ತಮ್ಮೆಲ್ಲರವೆ ಅಸ್ತಿಯೆಲ್ಲವನ್ನೂ ಬರೆದುಕೊಟ್ಟು ಈ ಮೂರು ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಸಾಲ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆಮೇಲೆ ಎಲೆಕ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ ಪಂಪು ಹಾಕಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಲ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಬೇರೆ ರಹವಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಎಲೆಕ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ ಡಿಪಾರ್ಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟಿನವರು ಇದೇ ಭೂಮಿಯನ್ನೂ ಪಂಪನ್ನೂ ಎರಡನೆಯ ಮಾರ್ಚ್ ಗೇಜ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಹಣ ಕೊಡುವ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡಿ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ವಿ. ಚನ್ನಬೈರೇಗೌಡ.—ತಾವು ಬಾವಿಗಾಗಿ ಹಣ ಕೊಡುವುದು ಬಾವಿಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭ ಮಾಡಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ತಾನೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ವಿ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಇಲ್ಲ. ಮುಂಚೆಯೇ ಶೇಕಡ 50 ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಆಮೇಲೆ ಶೇಕಡ 25, ಶೇಕಡ 25 ಎಂದು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ವಿ. ಚನ್ನಬೈರೇಗೌಡ.—ಡಿವಿಜನ್‌ರ ಕಮಿಷನರ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ತಾವು ಏನು ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ವಿ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಎಷ್ಟೋ ವೇಳೆ ಬಾವಿಯನ್ನು ಕೆರೆಯ ಕೆಳಗಡೆ ತೆಗೆಯಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಸಾಲ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಅಷ್ಟರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆರೆಗೆ ನೀರು ಬಂದು ಬಾವಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮುಂದುವರಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಂಥ ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳೂ ಇರುತ್ತವೆ. ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಅದನ್ನು ನೋಡಿ ಮುಂದಿನ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ವಿ. ಚನ್ನಬೈರೇಗೌಡ.—ಅಂಥ ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳು ಯಾವುದೂ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ, ಬಾವಿ ತೋಡಿಸದೆ ಇದ್ದರೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ವಿ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಸೆಪ್ಟೆಂಬರ್ ತಿಂಗಳಿನವರೆಗೂ ಕಾಯುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಅದೂ ಸಾಲದೆಂದು ಕಂಡು ಬಂದರೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ Extension ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅಲ್ಲಗೂ ಮಾಡದೆ ಹೋದರೆ, ಆತ ಜಮೀನ್ದಾರ್‌ನೂ ಬರೆದುಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತಾನೆ. ಅವನು ಓಡಹೋದರೂ ಜಮೀನಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಆರ್. ಕೇಶವಮೂರ್ತಿ.—ಬಾವಿ ತೆಗೆಯಿಸಲು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಸಾಲ Misuse

ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ Penalty ಏನು ಹಾಕುತ್ತೀರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ವಿ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಸಾಲ ಎಂದು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅವನು ಅದನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಜಮೀನನ್ನಾರ್, ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಏನೋ ಕ್ಷಾಮ ಎಂದು ಬಾವಿ ತೋಡಿಸಲೆಂದು ಹಣ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ, ಒರಗಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಾವಿಗೆ ಬದ್ಧ ಎನ್ನುವಂತೆ. ಅಂಥಾದ್ದರಲ್ಲಿ ಅವನು ಅದನ್ನು ಮಾಡದೆ ಮದುವೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡರೆ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ.

### Houses built during the Second Plan under various Housing Schemes in the State.

\*Q.—367. SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI (Afzalpur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the number of houses built during the Second Plan under various Housing Schemes in the State (District-wise information under each of the such Schemes to be furnished);

(b) the amount spent for the above purpose;

(c) the allotment made in the Third Plan under each scheme and the District-wise allotment for the current year?

A.—SRI D. DEVARAJ URS (Minister for Labour, Housing and Transport).—

(a) and (b) Two statements A and B are given below.

	Rs. in lakhs.
(c)	
Subsidised Industrial Housing Scheme.	... 80
Low Income Group Housing Scheme.	... 275
Village Housing Scheme.	... 100

No District-wise allotment is made in respect of Subsidised Industrial Housing Scheme and Low Income Group Housing Scheme. Regarding Village Housing Scheme, statement C is given below. The provision made for 1962-63 is as under:—

	Rs. in lakhs
Subsidised Industrial Housing Scheme.	... 18
Low Income Group Housing Scheme.	... 42
Village Housing Scheme.	... 17

## STATEMENT A.

Showing number of houses built (*i.e.*, completed) during the Second Five-Year Plan period under several housing schemes.

District	Subsidiary Housing Scheme	Low Income Group Housing Scheme.			Middle Income Group Housing Scheme.			Remarks.
		In lakhs.	No.	M.H.B. in lakhs.	M. S. C. H. Corpn. in lakhs.	No.	M. S. C. H. Corpn. in lakhs.	
1 Bangalore	802	34.34	996	92.59	1014	19	Not furnished	(a) In addition to
2 Mysore	120	2.62	284	21.52	34	2	by the Corporation. It	67.32 lakhs, an
3 Mandya	166	3.34	12	1.70	3	1	is intimated that the	amount 2.68
4 Chitradurga	112	5.57	23	2.39	268	1	Districtwise particulars	lakhs was expended under S.I.H.
5 Shimoga	300	7.00	123	9.68	88	1	are not readily available.	Scheme as detailed below:—
6 Bellary	...	1.43	...	0.85	4	1		Gulbarga
7 Kolar	...	...	12	1.02	44			works
8 Hassan	...	...	...	0.65	31			1.99
9 Chikmagalur	...	...	18	1.51	81			M.G.R.T.D.
10 Tumkur	...	...	1	0.05	49			0.69
11 North Kanara	400	9.98	3	0.26	...			2.68
12 Dharwar	100	3.04	106	4.72	6			This expenditure is
13 Raichur	...	...	86	4.62	...			in respect of
14 Bidar	...	...	...	...	...			works sanctioned
15 Gulbarga	...	...	41	2.43	...			by erstwhile
16 Belgaum	...	...	...	...	...			Governments of
17 Coorg	...	...	198	1.42	...	2		Hyderabad and
18 Bijapur	...	...	3	0.65	11	1		Bombay Karna-
19 South Kanara	...	...	...	...	8			tak Area in
Total	2000	67.32	1906	146.06	1650	26	4.45	these places.

(b) L.I.G.H.—A sum of Rs. 104.24 lakhs was sanctioned and placed at the disposal of Mysore State Housing Corporation, during the Second Plan period against which 86.69 lakhs was actually spent by them.

(c) M.I.G.H.—A sum of Rs. 30.00 lakhs was sanctioned and placed at the disposal of M.S.C.H.C. during II Plan against which 4.45 was actually spent by them.

(SRI D. DEVARAJ URS)

## STATEMENT B.

## Village Housing Scheme.

Sl. No.	Name of the District	Number of houses built		Amount spent
		Completed	Different stages	
				Rs. nP.
1	Bangalore ...	9	523	2,84,172.50
2	Kolar ...	2	203	1,83,585.00
3	Tumkur ...	41	134	1,14,070.00
4	Chitradurga ...	20	83	68,475.00
5	Bellary ...	50	49	75,225.00
6	Raichur ...	33	196	1,86,550.00
7	Gulbarga ...	95	660	4,52,495.00
8	Bidar ...	54	324	2,06,390.00
9	Bijapur ...	14	128	1,27,675.00
10	Belgaum ...	76	197	1,33,604.00
11	Dharwar ...	63	238	2,90,875.00
12	North Kanara ...	67	141	1,91,532.50
13	Shimoga ...	33	49	60,735.00
14	Chickmagalur ...	48	98	1,02,531.00
15	Hassan ...	38	233	2,53,750.00
16	South Kanara ...	32	52	60,940.00
17	Coorg ...	107	76	1,19,375.00
18	Mysore ...	—	122	63,750.00
19	Mandya ...	—	62	52,300.00
Total ...		782	3,568	30,08,530.00

## STATEMENT C

Showing Village Housing District-wise Allocation of Funds.

Sl. No.	Name of the District	Provision for III Plan and allotment for the current year (for first four months)		
				Rs.
1	Bangalore	...	...	1,16,000
2	Kolar	...	...	25,000
3	Tumkur	...	...	27,000
4	Chitradurga	...	...	10,000
5	Bellary	...	...	13,000
6	Raichur	...	...	33,000
7	Gulbarga	...	...	56,000
8	Bidar	...	...	25,000
9	Bijapur	...	...	25,000
10	Belgaum	...	...	25,000
11	Dharwar	...	...	50,000
12	North Kanara	...	...	25,000
13	Shimoga	...	...	17,000
14	Chickmagalur	...	...	17,000
15	Hassan	...	...	42,000
16	South Kanara	...	...	15,000
17	Coorg	...	...	10,000
18	Mysore	...	...	20,000
19	Mandya	...	...	15,000
		Total	...	5,66,000

**Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.**—It may be seen from the statement that the Mysore State Housing Corporation has spent Rs. 86.69 lakhs out of a sanctioned amount of Rs. 104.24 lakhs and that the Mysore State Co-operative Housing Corporation has spent Rs. 4.45 lakhs out of the sanctioned amount of Rs. 30 lakhs. May I know the reasons for the small amounts spent by them?

**Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.**—There are no two Corporations; both are the same.

**Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.**—Then, why have they been separately mentioned and why separate amounts have been shown against them?

**Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.**—I will look into the matter and verify.

**Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.**—May I know the amount which has to be spent on the spill-over schemes during the Third Plan?

**Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.**—Those figures are not readily available.

**Sri G. V. GOWDA.**—Why is it that the Subsidised Industrial Scheme and the Middle Income-Group Housing Scheme have not been implemented in some of the districts and on what basis are they implemented in different districts?

**Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.**—The Subsidised Industrial Housing Scheme cannot be taken up districtwise; it is industry wise.

**Sri G. V. GOWDA.**—Does it mean that some of the districts wherein this scheme has not been implemented have no industries at all?

**Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.**—It is not as though wherever there is an industry, big or small, money can be allotted for this purpose. It all depends on whether the management comes forward or not.

**Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.**—A few days ago in reply to a supplementary question the Minister was pleased to say that because of non-availability of funds the Housing Corporation could not proceed with the plan works whereas the reply given today is that they have not been able to spend the money allotted.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—I have gone through both the answers in order to find out whether there is anything overlapping.

The previous question was for the years 1960-61 and 1961-62 and this question is for the Second Plan period.

**Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.**—Then, Sir, during the Second Plan period if the money has not been spent, does it lapse or is it a continuing programme?

**Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.**—It does lapse.

**Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.**—May I know whether the Mysore State Housing Corporation has to submit its schemes to the Housing Board Engineers for their approval with the result that they are not getting the schemes scrutinised in time?

**Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.**—Under the existing practice, the Mysore State Housing Corporation has to submit its schemes to the engineers of the Housing Board for scrutiny.

**Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.**—The Mysore State Housing Corporation has sufficient qualified Engineers. If so, where is the necessity of again asking them to submit their Scheme to the Housing Board Engineers?

**Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.**—The Housing Board is a statutory body and at one time the Housing Corporation wanted its own engineering establishment and it also wanted Government to give a portion of the expenditure to be incurred on establishment, for which Government is not willing and we have thought it best under the existing conditions that the engineering staff is attached to the Housing Board can do the work of the Housing Board and the Housing Corporation.

**Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.**—May I know, Sir, notwithstanding the fact that Gulbarga District is in the forefront of the Rural Housing Scheme, having spent about Rs. 4,52,495 why a meagre amount of Rs. 56,000 only has been allotted during the current year?

**Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.**—Perhaps it may be like this.....

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—It should not be 'might be.' The answer should be 'it is like this.'

**Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.**—I have pointed out that fresh villages cannot perhaps be taken during the Second Plan period. The entire amount which was allotted to Gulbarga District has been spent and in those seven villages there is not much work to be done and so less amount was allotted.

**Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.**—What is the number of houses required during the Third Plan according to the increase of population and what is the amount you require for the increased population during the Third Plan period?

**Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.**—Sir, again and again I wish to point out one thing. We are not going to take up fresh villages. We have already fixed up 250 villages for the entire Plan Period. Among these 250 villages, last time I told the House that I was having a Socio-Economic Survey made for all these 250 villages so as to ascertain the complete requirements of all the persons in those villages who might not have got houses at present. After that survey is over, I shall be able to tell this House how much fund is exactly required for the completion of houses in those 250 villages.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ರೇವಣ್ಣ ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.**—ಇಷ್ಟು ಮನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರ. ಈ ಹಣದಲ್ಲೆ ಎಸ್ಕಾಬ್ಲಿಷ್ ಮೆಂಟಿಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಬರ್ಚಾಯಿತು ?

**Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.**—Notice.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ರೇವಣ್ಣ ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.**—ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸುವುದು ಏತಕ್ಕೆ ? ಇನ್ನೂ ಅರ್ಧ ಘಂಟೆ ಟೈಮ್ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಂಡು ಹೇಳಿ.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ದುಗ್ಗಪ್ಪ.**—Mysore State Housing Corporationಗೆ 104.24 ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿ sanction ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರೂ, 86.69 ಲಕ್ಷ ಮಾತ್ರ ಬರ್ಚು ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣ ಏನು ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ್ ಅರಸ್.**—ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದೇವೆ, ಇನ್ನೂ ವಿವರಗಳು ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ದುಗ್ಗಪ್ಪ.**—ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್‌ನಿಗೆ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರನ್ನಲ್ಲದೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಜನ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗಲನ್ನು ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರ ?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—How does it arise out of this question ? The reason may be very good. The Hon. Member can put a supplementary. Let us not spend time unnecessarily.

**Sri S. M. KRISHNA.**—The Hon. Minister was pleased to say that 250 villages had been selected. What was the basis on which those 250 villages were selected ?

**Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.**—In each area, wherever these National Extension schemes are implemented the Block Officers with the aid of the Village Level Workers and other officers selected these villages based on certain factors, viz., the necessity of the village, the economic condition of the village, the requirements of the people who are willing to take land and construct houses, whether it requires a large scale construction in a particular village and the population and also the ready availability of the land. All these factors are taken into consideration at the time of making these selections.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—This matter has been once discussed in connection with previous question i.e.—Question No. 205.

**Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.**—During the Third Plan period, the target was 95 per cent. That was mentioned on the floor of the House some time ago. They have spent 13 per cent out of the Scheme. Are we to take it that the Plan Schemes are not strictly adhered to ?

**Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.**—It is true. Under the Second Plan period, all the money that was allotted for various Housing Schemes has not been spent. In respect of some Schemes, like the Village Housing Scheme, for instance, we have progressed well.

**Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.**—Are we to take it that the money is spent elsewhere because it is needed elsewhere, Sir ?

**Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.**—It is not a question of money, needed elsewhere being spent. It is not like that. These Schemes were all fresh Schemes under the Second Plan. So, it did take some time and there was some difficulty felt during the Second Plan period to spend all the amount that was allotted. Under the Third Plan period we are sure that we are not only going to spend the money allotted, but we may also perhaps require something more.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ರೇವಣ್ಣ ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.**—ನಿರಾಶ್ರಿತರ ನಿವಾರಣೆಗಾಗಿಯೋ ಅಥವಾ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ ಕ್ಯೂಸ್ಟರ ಈ ಸ್ಕೀಮ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ ; ಅಥವಾ ಜನಗಳಿಗೋಸ್ಕರವೋ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ್ ಅರಸ್.—ಎರಡಕ್ಕೂ ಮಾಡಿ ದ್ವೇಷ—ಜನಗಳಿಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ತು ನಿರಾದ್ಯೋಗ ನಿವಾರಣೆಗೂ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಧರ್ಮಪ್ಪಾ ನಿವೃತ್ತ 250 ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ, ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ. ಆದರೆ ಈಗ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ ಕೊಡಿಸಿದಿರಿ : ಇರುವುದು ಬರ್ಕು ಮಾಡುವುದಲ್ಲದೆ, ಇನ್ನೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಬರ್ಕು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು. ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಇನ್ನೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಬರ್ಕು ಮಾಡುವುದಾದರೆ, ಇನ್ನು ಎಷ್ಟು ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ವಿಸ್ತರಿಸಬಲ್ಲರಿ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ್ ಅರಸ್.—ಹೋದ ಸಾರಿ ಹೇಳಿದ ಮಾತನ್ನೇ ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಇನ್ನೂ 100 ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳನ್ನು ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇಂದಿಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಗೆ ಮನವಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಇದು ಒಂದು ಸ್ಕ್ರೀಮ್‌ಅಪ್ಪ. 3ನೇ ಪಂಚವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಯೋಜನೆ ದುರಿತ ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮನೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಕಟ್ಟಿಸತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಇನ್ನೂ ಐದಾರು ಸ್ಕ್ರೀಮ್‌ಗಳಿವೆ : Low Income Group Housing Scheme, Middle Income Group Housing Scheme, Subsidised Industrial Housing Scheme ಎಂದು ಇವೆ; ಇದರ ಜೊತೆಗೆ Slum Clearance Scheme ಕೂಡ ಇದೆ. ಎಲ್ಲದರಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಕೂಡ ಈಗಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ದುಡ್ಡನ್ನು ಬರ್ಕುಮಾಡಿ ಇನ್ನೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಬರ್ಕುಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶವಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

1-30 P.M.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಆರ್. ಕೇಶವಮೂರ್ತಿ.—ನಮ್ಮ ದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ 7 ಸಾವಿರ ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳಿವೆ. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ 250 ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಅದರ ಬೆನಿಫಿಟಿಸಿಕ್ಯದರೆ, ಡೆವಲಪ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಸ್ಕೀಂ ಮತ್ತು ಈಕ್ವಾಲಿಟಿ ಆಫ್ ಆಪರೇಷನ್‌ನಿಟಿ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಬೇರೆ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳಿಗೂ ಅವಕಾಶ ಹೇಗೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕುತ್ತದೆ? 250 ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಭಾವಶಾಲಿಗಳಾದ ಷೆಕ್ಸ್‌ಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಇದರ ಬೆನಿಫಿಟಿಸಿಕ್ಯದರೆ, ಏನೂ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಇರುವವರಂತೆಯೇನು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ್ ಅರಸ್.—ಊಹೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಂಡು ಅನೇಕ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಹಾಕುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ, ಅನೇಕ ವಿಷಯಗಳು ನಿರಾಧಾರ ವಾದುವುಗಳು. ಎಲ್ಲಕ್ಕೂ ಭಾಗ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ರೇಯೋ ಆಫ್ ಎರಡು ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಸಾಲ ವನ್ನು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಪ್ರಭಾವಶಾಲಿಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಅನುಕೂಲಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ದುಡ್ಡು ಬೇಕಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ನಮ್ಮ ದೇಶ ದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವುದು 7 ಸಾವಿರ ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ, 25 ಸಾವಿರ ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ರೇವಣ್ಣಸಿದ್ಧಪ್ಪ.—ಒಡ ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ್ ಅರಸ್.—ಅವು ಇನ್ನೂ ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ಇವೆ. ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯೂ ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ, ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿರತಕ್ಕ ಎಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ಏಕ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ದುಡ್ಡನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ. ಎಲ್ಲೆಲ್ಲಿ ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆ ಇದೆಯೋ ಅಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಮನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಹಣ ವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಹಣವನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಅದನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇವೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ದುಡ್ಡಾದರೂ ಸಾಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಬಾಕಿ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿ ಮನೆ ಕಟ್ಟಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಯೋಜನೆ ಮಾಡೋಣ.

Sri N. O. SAMAJI (Translated from Marathi).—May I know whether while deciding this, the population of the District has been taken into consideration? If so, how many villages of Belgaum District came under the scheme?

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—Sir, we cannot take the population or so many other things into account while selecting these villages. As I already stated, in every block, they might select two or three villages irrespective of the districtwise population figure.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member wants to know whether any villages of Belgaum District are included in those 250 houses?

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—Let him read the answer on page 9. It is stated there that the number of houses is 76 completed and 197 at different stages. But I have not got the number of villages selected from Belgaum District.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Minister can give the Hon'ble Member that information latter.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—In view of the fact that the Housing Board has not been able to fulfil the plan target as envisaged in the Plans, will the Government think of abolishing this Housing Board and entrust this work of construction to Corporations, Municipalities and Panchayats?

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—I am sorry, I cannot accept the proposition. In the first place, it is a statutory body. How can you abolish it unless the Act itself is abolished? You may say that the members there are in different; you may put more efficient people. Let me make one thing clear to the Hon'ble House. It is not the Housing Board that is lagging behind. In the foot-note it is made clear that under the Low Income Group Housing Scheme, a sum of Rs. 104.24 lakhs was sanctioned and placed at the disposal of Mysore State Housing Corporation, during the Second Plan period against which Rs. 86.69 lakhs were actually spent by them. A sum of Rs. 30.00 lakhs was sanctioned and placed at the disposal of Middle Income Group Housing Scheme during II Plan against which 4.45 was actually